Update on Evidence-based Approaches to Aphasia Management Across the Continuum of Care

Laura Murray

School of Communication Sciences and Disorders

Western University, London, ON, Canada

Linguistic Abilities in Aphasia (Murray & Clark, 2015)

- Phonology and Orthography
 - ◆ Uspeed and accuracy in producing and identifying speech sounds and letters
 - Speed and accuracy in converting:
 - Letters > sounds
 - Sounds letters
 - Problems may be product of:
 - Linguistic deficit
 - Sensory problems
 - Motor impairments
- Lexical-Semantics

Linguistic Abilities in Aphasia

- Morphology and Syntax
 - Grammatical production and comprehension problems
 - ↓ speed, accuracy, and/or complexity
- Pragmatics and Discourse
 - Social language skills often an area of strength
 - Difficulties, however, are possible
 - Discourse comprehension and production problems
 - □ Uinformativeness, incomplete scripts, problems identifying gist, impaired idiom comprehension

The Need to Consider Cognitive Status in Aphasic Patients

- Neural multifunctionality (Cahana-Amitay & Albert, 2015)
 - Neural structures, neurotransmitters, and circuits often support both cognitive and linguistic functions (Alexander, 2006; Baldo et al., 2012; Kesner, 2009; Klingberg, 2010; Meyer et al., 2014)
 - Therefore, brain damage that disrupts language structures/circuits/neurochemistry likely to negatively affect some cognitive functions and vice versa
- Prevalence of cognitive deficits following is high in etiologies of aphasia

Extra-Linguistic Abilities in Aphasia

- Deficits possible in all attention functions (Murray, 2012; Pulsipher et al., 2013)
 - Linguistic and nonlinguistic attention tasks
 - ◆ Sustained attention (Barker-Collo et al., 2010; Gerritsen et al., 2003; Laures, 2005; Oron et al., 2015)
 - Attention Switching (Frankel et al., 2007; Murray, 2012)
 - ◆ Focused and divided attention (Hunting-Pompon et al., 2011; Kalbe et al., 2005; Murray, 2012; Villard & Kiran, 2015)
 - Right neglect (Barker Collo et al., 2010; Haselbach et al., 2014; Ihori et al., 2015; Wee & Hopman, 2008)

Memory Deficits in Aphasia

- Verbal and nonverbal STM (Baldo et al., 2012; Dignam et al., 2017; Laures-Gore et al., 2011; Martin et al., 2012; Potagas et al., 2011; Sideropoulos et al., 2015)
- Working memory (Christensen & Wright, 2010; DeDe et al. 2014; Ivanova & Hallowell, 2012, 2014; Mayer & Murray, 2012; Potogas et al., 2011; Seniow et al., 2009; Soares-Ishigaki et al., 2012; Sung et al., 2009)
- Encoding/learning (Valilla-Rohter & Kiran, 2013)
- Verbal and nonverbal declarative memory (Bartha & Benke, 2002; Beeson et al., 1993; Pulsipher et al., 2013; Vukovic et al., 2008; Yasuda et al., 2000)
- One of the most frequently reported cognitive changes following left stroke (Visser-Keizer et al., 2002)

EF Deficits in Aphasia

- Deficits in several EFS (Butts et al., 2015; Cocchini et al., 2010; Fucetola et al., 2009; Lesniak et al., 2008; Meteyard et al., 2015; Murray, 2014, 2017; Nicholas et al., 2011; Nys et al., 2007; Penn et al., 2010; Pulsipher et al., 2013; Salas et al., 2014; Vukovic, 2008; Yu et al., 2013; Zinn et al., 2007)
 - Impaired problem solving
 - Planning difficulties
 - Disinhibition
 - Problems detecting and following a rule
 - Lack of cognitive flexibility
 - Poor error awareness or detection

Cognitive Deficits Negatively Affect: Communication

- Each level of language processing (Giovannetti et al., 2008; Kong et al., 2014; Meyer et al., 2014; Murray, 2012; Sung et al., 2009)
- General language/communication skills (Coleman et al., 2011; Fridricksson et al., 2006; Ivanova et al., 2015; Murray, 2012, 2017; Nys et al., 2005)
- Ability to profit from treatment (Brownsett et al., 2014; Dignam et al., 2017; Edmonds et al., 2014; Murray et al., 2004; Purdy & Dietz, 2010; Lambon Ralph et al., 2010; Lesniak et al., 2008; Nicholas et al., 2011; Sandt-Koenderman et al., 2008; Seniow et al., 2009; Votruba et al., 2013; Wallace, 2010; Yeung & Law, 2010)
 - Difficulty acquiring new communication skills/strategies/device use
 - Limited generalization effects
 - Limited maintenance of treatment effects
 - Use

 compliance/motivation for treatment or compensatory strategy

Acute/Subacute Care: Need for Formal Screening/Testing

- Edwards et al. (2006)
 - Stroke-related cognitive, perceptual, and linguistic deficits can go <u>undetected</u> without formal screening/testing!
- Formal screening necessary
 - Aphasia screening/bedside tests
 - Aphasia Screening Test III (Whurr, 2011)
 - Short/bedside versions of aphasia batteries
 - e.g., WAB-R, BDAE-III, BAT Screening Test
 - Protocols in the empirical literature
 - e.g., Addenbrooke's Cognitive Examination-Revised: Language component (Gaber et al., 2011)
 - e.g., Aphasia Rapid Test (Azuar et al., 2013)
 - Psychometric qualities of many of these measures are suspect

Cognitive Screening Tools

- Do not use the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) to screen for cognitive problems in individuals with aphasia (Golper et al., 1987; Osher et al., 2008)
 - Overestimates presence and severity of cognitive problems
- Some are specifically designed for aphasia and/or stroke
 - e.g., Cognitive Assessment scale for Stroke Patients (CASP; Barnay et al., 2014; Benaim et al., 2015)

Trends in Aphasia Test Batteries

- Bilingual Aphasia Test (Paradis, 2011; www.mcgill.ca/linguistics/research/bat/)
- Scales of Cognitive and Communicative Ability for Neurorehabilitation (SCCAN; Milman & Holland, 2012)
- Scenario Test (van der Meulen et al., 2010)

Trends in Tests of Specific Linguistic Functions: Examples

- Vocabulary Assessment Scales: Expressive and Receptive (Gerhardstein Nader, 2013)
- NAB Auditory Comprehension Test (Stern & White, 2010)
- CELF 5: Metalinguistics (Wiig & Secord, 2014)
- The Listening Comprehension Test Adolescent (Bowers et al., 2009)
- Test of Written Language 4 (Hammill & Larsen, 2009)

Tests of Specific Linguistic Functions

- Spoken and/or written discourse sampling (Bryant et al., 2016; Marini et al., 2007; Rousseaux et al., 2010; Murray & Clark, 2015)
 - Ideally sample a variety of genres and sample > 300 words
 - Assesses all language levels, considered an ICF activity/participation measure, and sensitive to sociocultural variation
- Commercially-available or research protocols for eliciting and/or analyzing spoken and/or written discourse samples:
 - e.g., Supporting Partners of People with Aphasia in Relationships and Conversation (Locke et al., 2008)
 - e.g., Kagan scales (Kagan et al., 2001; 2004)

Measures of Quality of Life and Related Constructs

- Aphasia Communication Outcome Measure (Hula et al., 2015)
- Assessment for Living with Aphasia (ALA; Aphasia Institute, 2010; Simmons-Mackie et al., 2014)
- Communication Disability Profile: Activities and Participation sections (CDP; Chue et al., 2010; Swinburn & Byng, 2006)
- Carer Communication Outcome After Stroke (Long et al., 2009)
- Informal communication participation measures

Cognitive Tests

- Use tests with relatively low language demands
 - Commercially-available options
 - e.g., Color Trails Test (D'Elia et al., 1996)
 - e.g., Comprehensive Test of Nonverbal Intelligence 2 (Hammill et al., 2009)
 - Options in the research literature
 - e.g., Picture span (DeDe et al., 2014)
 - e.g., Observational/Rating Scales
 - e.g., Evaluation of Everyday Memory (Tropp et al., 2015)
- A component of some aphasia batteries
 - e.g., SCCAN, Comprehensive Aphasia Test
- Documenting awareness issues
 - VATA-L: Visual-Analogue Test Assessing Anosognosia for Language Impairment (Cocchini et al., 2010)

Confounding Variables

- Inadequate norms for the elderly and ethnocultural minorities
 - Check research literature for extended norms (e.g., Lee et al., 2002)
 - Must acknowledge interaction between cultural background of client and structured testing environment (Agranovich et al., 2011; Bender et al., 2010)
 - EF tests particularly sensitive to healthy aging effects (Gavett et al., 2015)
- Few tests for assessing multilingual patients
- Psychometric concerns (Burgess et al., 2006; Mueller & Dollaghan, 2013; Pickens et al., 2010; Poulin et al., 2013)

Important Aphasia Treatment Resources

- www.u.arizona.edu/~pelagie/ancds/index.html
 - Aphasia treatment tables
- www.ancds.org
 - Links to systematic, evidence-based reviews of treatments for a variety of neurogenic communication disorders
- www.speechbite.com
 - speechBite evidence-based practice resource for communication and/or swallowing disorders treatments
- www.aphasiapathway.com.au
 - Australian Aphasia Rehabilitation Pathway best practice statements for all phases of aphasia management
- Shrubsole et al. (2017)
 - Recommendations for post-stroke aphasia rehabilitation: An updated systematic review and evaluation of clinical practice guidelines

When to Treat?

- Research indicates that patients in the acute through chronic aphasia recovery phases benefit from aphasia treatment (Breitenstein et al., 2017; Conklyn et al., 2012; Hoeg Dembrower et al., 2017; Laganaro et al., 2003; Moss & Nicholas, 2006; Robey, 1998)
 - No relationship between response to aphasia treatment and time post-onset for patients > 1 year post-onset (Moss & Nicholas, 2006)
 - i.e., no time limit on treatment-related recovery
 - Prognostic decisions based on time post-onset alone are unwarranted

Behavioral Aphasia Treatment Approaches

(Murray & Clark, 2015)

Stimulation

- Identify potent stimulus factors
- Expose to stimulus and task hierarchies to "stimulate" compromised linguistic function or language modality
- Cognitive neuropsychological (CN)
 - Use normal or disordered language models to delineate problematic specific linguistic process(es)
 - problematic process(es) and/or capitalize on more intact process(es)

Cognitive

- Compensatory
 - Focus on strategies and environmental modifications to compensate for impairments
- Neurophysiological
 - Foster cognitive-linguistic abilities via modulation of neural activity/well-being

Stimulation Approaches: Example

- Constraint-induced/intensive aphasia therapy (Cherney et al., 2008; Faroqi-Shah & Virion, 2009; Kavian et al., 2014; Kurland et al., 2012; Meinzer et al., 2007, 2012; Sickert et al., 2014; Szaflarski et al., 2008; Rose, 2013)
 - Procedures
 - Only allow spoken language
 - Typically provided in group setting
 - Short-term, highly intensive (e.g., ≥ 3 hr/day for 5-10 days)
 - Outcomes:
 - 🕆 spoken output
 - Intensity may be more important than constraint aspect
 - Can be administered by trained "layperson"
 - Maintenance of effects and ideal candidates not yet well established (Faroqi-Shah & Virion, 2009)

Stimulation Approaches: Discourse-Level Tx Example

- Computer-based script training (Bilda, 2011; Cherney et al., 2008, 2014; Goldberg et al., 2012; Lee et al., 2009; Manheim et al., 2009)
 - ♦ AphasiaScripts
 - Computerized version of conversational coaching
 - Typical treatment steps
 - Develop scripts with clinician
 - At-home practice of at least 30 min/day for 9 wks
 - Outcomes for range of aphasia types and severities
 - 1 script-related words/min (variety of parts of speech)
 - aphasia severity (WAB) in some participants
 - self-ratings of communication, confidence
 - All participants liked the computer program
 - Some generalization to untrained contexts but need more research on generalization and maintenance

Stimulation Approaches: Computer Tx

- Considerations
 - Positives (Latimer et al., 2013)
 - Negatives (e.g., Leung et al., 2014; Ponsford et al., 2014; Velikonja et al., 2014)
- Examples
 - Bungalow software, Constant Therapy, BangaSpeak (Messamer et al., 2016), bespoke programs (e.g., Radman et al., 2016)
- Systematic review of computer-delivered aphasia tx (Zheng et al., 2016)
 - Moderately severe, nonfluent aphasia and < 65 yrs overrepresentation
 - Outcomes:
 - Effective vs. no tx
 - Possibly as effective as clinician-delivered tx

CN Approaches: Example of Semantic-Based Treatments

- Strengthen semantic activation to 1 speed and accuracy of word retrieval (Boyle, 2017; de Jong-Hagelstein et al., 2011; Kiran & Bassetto, 2008)
- Tx protocols:
 - ◆ Semantic Feature Analysis (SFA; Boyle, 2010, 2017; Falconer & Antonucci, 2012; Maddy et al., 2014; Wambaugh et al., 2014)
 - Verb Network Strengthening Treatment (VNeST; Edmonds, 2016; Edmonds et al., 2009, 2014; Edmonds & Babb, 2011; Kwag et al., 2014)
- Outcomes
 - naming of trained items regardless of nature of anomia
 - Variable 1 to untrained items within trained categories or discourse

Cognitive Tx Approaches

- Attention Process Training (Sohlberg et al., 2001, 2011):
 - Coelho (2005) & Sinotte & Coelho (2007)
 - ◆ Amaddii et al. (2007)
 - ◆ Lee & Sohlberg (2013)
- STM protocols
 - No delay repetition protocols (Berthier et al., 2014; Francis et al., 2003; Harris et al., 2014)
 - Primarily task specific gains
 - Delayed repetition protocols (Kalinyak-Fliszar et al., 2011; Koenig-Bruhin & Studer-Eichenberger, 2007)
 - Primarily task specific gains

Cognitive Tx Approaches

- Working Memory protocols
 - Letter n-back tx protocol (Zakarias et al., 2017)
 - N-back task to target WM and interference control
 - Outcomes among 3 participants
 - Multiple WM component tx (Paek & Murray, 2015; Vallat et al., 2005)
 - Variety of tasks to target executive and buffer components of WM
 - > outcomes for Vallat et al.'s participant

Language Treatments That Consider Cognitive Limitations

- Implicit memory/learning approaches
 - effort and awareness demands during learning process
 - Spaced retrieval (Benigas, 2015; Bourgeois et al., 2016)
 - Recall information over progressively longer intervals
 - in concert with anomia treatment (Fridriksson et al., 2005; Morrow & Fridriksson, 2006)

Language Treatments That Consider Cognitive Limitations

- Errorless learning (EL; Ehlhardt et al., 2008; Fillingham et al., 2006; Lloyd et al., 2009; Middleton & Schwartz, 2012; Middleton et al., 2016; Page et al., 2006)
 - Cue so patient never makes a recall error
 - Requires intensive treatment
 - ◆ Anomia tx +/- EL (Jokel & Anderson, 2014; Middleton et al., 2016)
 - ♦ Mapping tx +/- EL (Wierenga et al., 2006)
 - Multimodality comm. tx with EL (Wallace et al., 2014)
 - ♦ AAC tx with EL (Wallace & Hux, 2014)
- Switch Back Through Translation (Ansaldo et al., 2009)
 - Target involuntary language switching via:
 - Train to translate word in non-target language into target language following occurrence of involuntary switching

Compensatory Approaches: Example of Multi-Modality Treatments

- Train gesture, writing, drawing, low and/or high tech devices to augment or replace spoken output or facilitate comprehension (Hux et al., 2010; Nicholas et al., 2011; Marshall et al., 2013; Rose et al., 2013)
 - Suitable for:
 - breadth of aphasia profiles
 - acute and chronic recovery phases
 - e.g., Multimodal Communication Training (Purdy & van Dyke, 2011; Wallace et al., 2014)

Compensatory Approaches

- Conversation therapy (Beckley et al., 2013, 2016; Beeke et al., 2015; Hickin et al., 2015; Savage et al., 2014; Sirman et al., 2017; Wilkinson & Wielart, 2012)
 - Train facilitative strategies to person with aphasia alone or in concert with a daily communication partner
 - Target discourse/conversation skills
 - Common tx activities
 - Initial conversation analysis, education about "conversation," selfreflection of videotaped samples, practice of strategies
 - Consistent +ive outcomes, but:
 - Diverse outcome measures and few aphasic participants
 - SLPs report low use and confidence in (Rose et al., 2014)

Compensatory Approaches: Unpaid & Paid Caregiver Training

(Best et al., 2016; Cameron et al., 2015; 2017; Horton et al., 2015; Kim et al., 2017; Simmons-Mackie et al., 2010; Wilkinson & Wielaert, 2012)

- e.g., Supported Conversation for Adults with Aphasia (www.aphasia.ca); Connect-Communication Disability Network program; Better Conversations with Aphasia (https://extend.ucl.ac.uk/)
- Variety of training formats
- 1 communication skill and confidence of caregiver and aphasic adult

Neurophysiological Approaches

- Transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS; Crinion, 2016; Costa et al., 2015; de Aguiar et al., 2015; Floel et al., 2011; Wu et al., 2015)
 - ◆ Anodal tDCS ↑ vs. cathodal ↓ cortical excitability
 - Variety of stimulation parameters in concert with a variety of language treatments
 - Generally +ive outcomes
- Transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS; Khedr et al., 2014; Naeser et al., 2005; Ren et al., 2014; Shah-Basak et al., 2016; Vuksanovic et al., 2015)
 - ◆ Slow (1 Hz) repetitive

 cortical excitability
 - ◆ Fast (5-20 Hz) repetitive ↑ cortical excitability
 - Expensive and cumbersome vs. tDCS
 - Variety of stimulation parameters with or without language tx
 - Generally +ive outcomes, similar in magnitude to tDCS

Telerehabilitation

- Remote aphasia treatment
 - Off-the-shelf and newly designed platforms or mobile applications
 - Synchronous and asynchronous
 - ◆ Support for its feasibility and usability (Choi et al., 2016; Timic et al., 2016; Woolf et al., 2016)
 - Positive tx outcomes (Agostini et al., 2014; Fumas & Edmonds, 2014; Woolf et al., 2016)

Future Needs

- Assessment and tx procedures for certain aphasia profiles
 - Severe aphasia
 - Mild aphasia/primarily discourse-level issues
 - Wernicke's aphasia
- Extra-linguistic cognitive assessments with standardized adaptions for people with aphasia
- Assessment procedures with stronger psychometric qualities
- Extra-linguistic cognitive tx procedures that:
 - focus on strategies vs. retraining
 - focus on neglect, episodic memory, EFs
- Assessment and tx procedures that address contextual factors

- Azuar, C., Leger, A., Arbizu, C., Henry-Amar, F., Chomel-Guillaume, S., & Samson, Y. (2013). The Aphasia Rapid Test: An NIHSS-like aphasia test. *Journal of Neurology*, 260(8), 2110-2117.
- Beeke, S., Beckley, F., Johnson, F., Heilemann, C., Edwards, S., Maxim, J., & Best, W. (2015). Conversation focused aphasia therapy: Investigating the adoption of strategies by people with agrammatism. *Aphasiology*, 29(3), 355-377.
- Best, W., Maxim, J., Heilemann, C., Beckley, F., Johnson, F., Edwards, S.,... Beeke, S. (2016). Conversation therapy with people with aphasia and conversation partners using video feedback: A group and case series investigation of changes in interaction. Frontiers in Human Neuroscience, 10. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2016.00562
- Boyle, M. (2017). Semantic treatments for word and sentence production deficits in aphasia. *Seminars in Speech and Language*, 38(1), 52-61.
- Breitenstein, C., Grewe, T., Flöel, A., Ziegler, W., Springer, L., Martus, P.,... Abel, S. (2017). Intensive speech and language therapy in patients with chronic aphasia after stroke: A randomised, open-label, blinded-endpoint, controlled trial in a health-care setting. The Lancet. doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(17)30067-3
- Block, C. K., Johnson-Greene, D., Pliskin, N., & Boake, C. (2017). Discriminating cognitive screening and cognitive testing from neuropsychological assessment: implications for professional practice. *The Clinical Neuropsychologist*, 31(3), 487-500.
- Cameron, A., McPhail, S. M., Hudson, K., Fleming, J., Lethlean, J., & Finch, E. (2017). A pre–post intervention study investigating the confidence and knowledge of health professionals communicating with people with aphasia in a metropolitan hospital. *Aphasiology*, 31(3), 359-374.

- Cherney, L. R., Kaye, R. C., & van Vuuren, S. (2014). Acquisition and maintenance of scripts in aphasia: A comparison of two cuing conditions. *American Journal of Speech Language Pathology*, 23(2), S343-S360.
- Choi, Y. H., Park, H. K., & Paik, N. J. (2016). A telerehabilitation approach for chronic aphasia following stroke. *Telemedicine and e-Health*, 22(5), 434-440.
- Chue, W. L., Rose, M. L., & Swinburn, K. (2010). The reliability of the Communication Disability Profile: A patient-reported outcome measure for aphasia. *Aphasiology*, 24(6-8), 940-956.
- Crinion, J. T. (2016). Transcranial direct current stimulation as a novel method for enhancing aphasia treatment effects. *European Psychologist*, 21(1), 65-77.
- de Aguiar, V., Paolazzi, C. L., & Miceli, G. (2015). tDCS in post-stroke aphasia: The role of stimulation parameters, behavioral treatment and patient characteristics. *Cortex*, 63, 296-316.
- Dignam, J., Copland, D., O'Brien, K., Burfein, P., Khan, A., & Rodriguez, A. D. (2017). Influence of cognitive ability on therapy outcomes for anomia in adults with chronic poststroke aphasia. *Journal of Speech*, Language, and Hearing Research, 60(2), 406-421.
- Eadie, T. L., Yorkston, K., Klasner, E., Dudgeon, B., Deitz, J., Baylor, C., et al. (2006). Measuring communication participation: A review of self-report instruments in speech-language pathology.

 American Journal of Speech-Language Pathology, 15, 307-320.
- Edmonds, L. A., Mammino, K., & Ojeda, J. (2014). Effect of Verb Network Strengthening Treatment (VNeST) in persons with aphasia: Extension and replication of previous findings. *American Journal of Speech-Language Pathology*, 23(2), S312-S329.

- Edmonds, L. A. (2016). A review of Verb Network Strengthening Treatment: Theory, methods, results, and clinical implications. *Topics in Language Disorders*, 36(2), 123-135.
- Faroqi-Shah, Y., & Virion, C. (2009). Constraint-induced language therapy for agrammatism: Role of grammaticality constraints. *Aphasiology*, 23(7-8), 977-988.
- Gaber, T. A. Z., Parsons, F., & Gautam, V. (2011). Validation of the language component of the Addenbrooke's Cognitive Examination—Revised (ACE-R) as a screening tool for aphasia in stroke patients. Australasian Journal on Ageing, 30(3), 156-158.
- Gerhardstein Nader, R. (2013). Vocabulary Assessment Scales-Expressive/Receptive. Lutz, FL: PAR.
- Guo, Y. E., Togher, L., Power, E., Hutomo, E., Yang, Y. F., Tay, A.,... Koh, G. C. (2017). Assessment of aphasia across the International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health using an iPadbased application. *Telemedicine and e-Health*. doi:10.1089/tmj.2016.0072
- Hammill, D. & Larsen, S. (2009). Test of Written Language 4th Ed. Austin, TX: Pro-Ed.
- Hickin, J., Mehta, B. & Dipper, L. (2015): To the sentence and beyond: A single case therapy report for mild aphasia, *Aphasiology*, DOI: 10.1080/02687038.2015.1010474
- Howe, T., Davidson, B., Worrall, L., Hersh, D., Ferguson, A., Sherratt, S., & Gilbert, J. (2012). 'You needed to rehab... families as well': Family members' own goals for aphasia rehabilitation. *International Journal of Language & Communication Disorders*, 47(5), 511-521.
- Hula, W., Doyle, P., Stone, C., Hula, S.., Kellough, S., Wambaugh, J., ... Jacque, A. (2015). The Aphasia Communication Outcome Measure (ACOM): Dimensionality, item bank calibration, and initial validation. *Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research, 58*(3), 906-919.
- Kelly, H., Kennedy, F., Britton, H., McGuire, G., & Law, J. (2016). Narrowing the "digital divide"—facilitating access to computer technology to enhance the lives of those with aphasia: A feasibility study.

 Aphasiology, 30(2-3), 133-163.

- Kim, E. S., Ruelling, A., Garcia, J. R., & Kajner, R. (2017). A pilot study examining the impact of aphasia camp participation on quality of life for people with aphasia. *Topics in Stroke Rehabilitation*, 24(2), 107-113.
- Kiran, S. (2007). Complexity in the treatment of naming deficits. American Journal of Speech-Language Pathology, 16(1), 30-42.
- Kiran, S. & Bassetto, G. (2008). Evaluating the effectiveness of semantic-based treatment for naming deficits in aphasia: What works? *Seminars in Speech and Language*, 29, 71-82.
- Lasker, J., & Garrett, K. (2008). Aphasia and AAC: Enhancing communication across health care settings.

 ASHA Leader, 17(8), 10-13.
- Leonard, C., Laird, L., Burianová, H., Graham, S. Grady, C., Simic, T. & Rochon, E. (2015) Behavioural and neural changes after a "choice" therapy for naming deficits in aphasia: Preliminary findings. *Aphasiology*, 29(4), 506-525.
- Long, A. F., Hesketh, A., & Bowen, A. (2009). Communication outcome after stroke: A new measure of the carer's perspective. *Clinical Rehabilitation*, 23, 846–856.
- Manheim, L., Halper, A., & Cherney, L. (2009). Patient-reported changes in communication after computer-based script training for aphasia. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*, 9, 623-627.
- Meinzer, M., Rodriguez, A. D., & Rothi, L. J. G. (2012). First decade of research on constrained-induced treatment approaches for aphasia rehabilitation. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*, 93(1), S35-S45.
- Middleton, E. L., Schwartz, M. F., Rawson, K. A., Traut, H., & Verkuilen, J. (2016). Towards a theory of learning for naming rehabilitation: Retrieval practice and spacing effects. *Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research, 59*(5), 1111-1122.

- Messamer, P., Ramsberger, G., & Atkins, A. (2016). BangaSpeak: An example of app design for aphasia clients and SLP users. *Aphasiology*, 30(2-3), 164-185.
- Milman, L. (2016). An integrated approach for treating discourse in aphasia: Bridging the gap between language impairment and functional communication. *Topics in Language Disorders*, 36(1), 80-96.
- Munro, P., & Siyambalapitiya, S. (2017). Improved word comprehension in global aphasia using a modified semantic feature analysis treatment. *Clinical Linguistics & Phonetics*, 31(2), 119-136.
- Murray, L. L., & Clark, H. M. (2015). Neurogenic disorders of language and cognition: Evidence-based clinical practice. Austin, TX: Pro-Ed.
- Murray, L. L., Timberlake, A., & Eberle, R. (2007). Treatment of Underlying Forms in a discourse context.

 Aphasiology, 21, 139-163.
- Radman, N., Spierer, L., Laganaro, M., Annoni, J. M., & Colombo, F. (2016). Language specificity of lexicalphonological therapy in bilingual aphasia: a clinical and electrophysiological study. *Neuropsychological Rehabilitation*, 26(4), 532-557.
- Ren, C., Zhang, G., Xia, N., Jin, C., Zhang, X., Hao, J.,...Cai, D. (2014). Effect of low-frequency rTMS on aphasia in stroke patients: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *PLoS One*, 9(7): e102557. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0102557
- Rose, M. L., Raymer, A. M., Lanyon, L. E., & Attard, M. C. (2013). A systematic review of gesture treatments for post-stroke aphasia. *Aphasiology*, 127(9), 1090-1127.
- Shah-Basak, P. P., Wurzman, R., Purcell, J. B., Gervits, F., & Hamilton, R. (2016). Fields or flows? A comparative metaanalysis of transcranial magnetic and direct current stimulation to treat post-stroke aphasia. Restorative Neurology and Neuroscience, 34(4), 537-558.

- Shrubsole, K., Worrall, L., Power, E., & O'Connor, D. A. (2017). Recommendations for post-stroke aphasia rehabilitation: An updated systematic review and evaluation of clinical practice guidelines.

 Aphasiology, 31(1), 1-24.
- Simic, T., Leonard, C., Laird, L., Cupit, J., Höbler, F., & Rochon, E. (2016). A usability study of internetbased therapy for naming deficits in aphasia. *American Journal of Speech-Language Pathology*, 25(4), 642-653.
- Simmons-Mackie, N., Kagan, A., Victor, J. C., Carling-Rowland, A., Mok, A., Hoch, J.,...Streiner, D.(2014).
 The assessment for living with aphasia: Reliability and construct validity. *International Journal of Speech-Language Pathology*, 16(1), 82-94.
- Sirman, N., Beeke, S., & Cruice, M. (2017). Professionals' perspectives on delivering conversation therapy in clinical practice. *Aphasiology*, 31(4), 465-494.
- Wallace, S. E., Purdy, M., & Skidmore, E. (2014). A multimodal communication program for aphasia during inpatient rehabilitation: A case study. *NeuroRehabilitation*, 35(3), 615.
- Wambaugh, J.L., Mauszycki, S., & Wright, S. (2014). Semantic feature analysis: Application to confrontation naming of actions in aphasia. *Aphasiology*, 28(1), 1-24.
- Woolf, C., Caute, A., Haigh, Z., Galliers, J., Wilson, S., Kessie, A.,...Marshall, J. (2016). A comparison of remote therapy, face to face therapy and an attention control intervention for people with aphasia: A quasi-randomised controlled feasibility study. *Clinical Rehabilitation*, 30(4), 359-373.
- Zheng, C., Lynch, L., & Taylor, N. (2016). Effect of computer therapy in aphasia: A systematic review.

 Aphasiology, 30(2-3), 211-244.
- Zakariás, L., Keresztes, A., Marton, K., & Wartenburger, I. (2017). Positive effects of a computerised working memory and executive function training on sentence comprehension in aphasia.

 Neuropsychological Rehabilitation, DOI: 10.1080/09602011.2016.1159579.